

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uszno.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. __10/723,358_ ___ __11/26/2003__ Bill Thomas Brazil_ _BTB-002___ **EXAMINER** 7590 04/12/2005 Bill T. Brazil THOMAS, DAVID B 311 West Franklin St. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Morrisville, PA 19067 3723

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		519	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/723,358	BRAZIL, BILL THOMAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David B. Thomas	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply repeated by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	arch 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-27 is/are pending in the application	٦.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) ⁻ or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
application from the International Bureau	•	su iii tiiis ivational Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>herein</u> .	6) Other:	atont Application (FTO+102)	

Application/Control Number: 10/723,358

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species "d" in the reply filed on March 11, 2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the piston ring compressor must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office

Art Unit: 3723

action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the polymers and polymeric coatings, as admitted by the applicant in the specification, in view of Villanyi (4,520,542).

Regarding the polymers as recited in the claims, the applicant admits that these polymers, as well as their respective properties, are known (see pp. 23-55 of the specification) in the art. Some of the references cited by the applicant provide specific applications for these polymers; however, none of these references implicitly disclose the application of one of these polymers as a coating, or major constituent, of a piston ring compressor. Piston ring compressors are known in the art, however, for the most part, these compressors do not include a coating to protect the piston and piston rings from damage during installation. Villanyi ('542) discloses a tool for installing pistons which compresses the piston rings. Villanyi ('542) recognizes several problems in the installation of pistons in an IC engine, including, but not limited to damaging the piston rings, and thus teaches that it would be desirable to provide a coating (Villanyi relies upon chrome plating) on the piston ring compressor in order to reduce the possibility of damaging the piston rings. Thus, based upon the teachings of the applicant's admitted

Application/Control Number: 10/723,358

Art Unit: 3723

prior art with respect to the various polymers, the examiner respectfully contends that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have taken the teaching from the prior art with respect to these polymers and applied it to a piston ring compressor, as Villanyi ('542) teaches that it would be desirable to provide a low friction coating on a piston ring compressor in order to reduce the possibility of damaging the piston ring during installation.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Erwin discloses a wrench and teaches the provision of a non-metallic coating to reduce slippage and to protect the workpiece from damage. The coating material may be, for example, rubber, plastic, polymer, or elastomer; or could be polyolefin, polyurethane, polyvinyl chloride, or Teflon. Foster discloses a piston ring compressor tool system. Cook et al. discloses a piston ring compressor. O'Neil discloses a modular torque limiting driver system. Borcicky discloses a piston ring compressor. Phillips discloses a tool and teaches that the inner surface of each jaw may be plastic coated in order to prevent damage to the workpiece. Kanowsky discloses a box wrench and teaches that the inner faces of the jaws may be coated with a non-metallic material to further reduce slippage on the fitting and to protect the fitting from damage. The coating material may be a rubber, plastic, polymer, or an elastomer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4497. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J.-Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

dbt